

Published by Tadris Matematika IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon EduMa: Mathematics Education Learning And Teaching December 2019, Vol 8 No 2 Page 36 – 41 <u>https://syekhnurjati.ac.id/jurnal/index.php/eduma/index</u> p-ISSN: 2086-3918, e-ISSN: 2502-5209



# Developing Concept Construction Based Abstract Algebra Textbook to Improve Students' Understanding

# Zuhrotun Nazihah 1\*, Dwi Nur Cahyo 2,

1 STKIP PGRI Pasuruan 1, Jawa Timur, Indonesia

\*Corresponding author: Jl. Ki Hajar Dewantara No.27-29 Pasuruan Jawa Timur 67118, Indonesia. e-mail addresses: zihahzurotum@gmail.com

article info	abstract
How to cite this article:	Developing Concept Construction Based Abstract Algebra
Nazihah, Z., & Cahyo, D.N. (2019). Development of The Teaching Book of Algebra Structure Based on Concept Construction to Improve Student Understanding. <i>Eduma:</i> <i>Mathematics Education Learning and</i> <i>Teaching</i> , 8(2), 36-41. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.24235/eduma.v8i2.4912	Textbook to Improve Students' Understanding. The purpose of t study is to develop and produce a concept construction bas abstract algebra textbook to improve students' understanding. T development method used in this study was 3D method, they define, design and develop. It refered to Tiagrajan's 4D metho Based on the data analysis results, the level of textbook's valid produced was achieving valid criteria without revision, but results of students' learning tests showed 92,7% students reach
Article history:	the criteria of understanding objects so the textbook could be said effective. Therefore, based on the results, it could be concluded that
Received: 08 04, 2019	the textbook could improve students' understanding and it was suitable to be used as abstract algebra's learning book in the
Accepted: 12 02, 2019	learning process.
Published: 12 05, 2019	
Copyright © 2019 by author (s) and EduMa: Mathematics Education	Keywords: APOS Theory; Concept Construction; Textbooks of Abstract Algebra and Understanding

Open Access

International License.

Learning and Teaching under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0

# INTRODUCTION

Mathematics is one of lesson in Indonesia that gives positive contribution to the intelligence and enlightment of Indonesian development. According to (Nazihah, 2017)) Mathematics Bell teachers have to have wide and deep understanding of university level mathematics to have good learning process in high school level. So the teacher candidates in Mathematics Edication Study Program in STKIP PGRI Pasuruan must have deep understanding of mathematics system in Abstract Algebra lecture.

The understanding of set, relation, function and the properties of integer had learnt in Basic Mathematics and Number Theory are the basic materials to study Abstract Algebra. These basic materials become the first key for students to understand the concepts of Abstract Algebra better than other materials. But in fact, Abstract Algebra is not an easy subject. It is shown from students' score, 20 from 29 students in 2017B class got 61 or C category.

Students' activities the in Abstract Algebra learning process are paying attention on theconcepts explained, taking notes and doing some exercises. When lecturer explains the materials, students look passive and no discussion take place. Students only wait lecturer's explaination about a for concept. Based on students' interview result, the students' passive activity happened because no textbook or literature neede about Abstract Algebra, so students only wait for lecturer's expalaination. То prevent this phenomenon, the researcher develops Abstract Algebra textbook which is appropriate with students' thinking level

so it can improve students' understanding.

# LITERATURE REVIEW

Concept construction used in this research was taken from APOS theory according to (Dubinsky & McDonald, 2002) that an individual mathematical knowledge is her or his tendency to respond to perceived mathematical problem situations by constructing mental actions, processes, and objects and organizing them in schemas to make sense of the situations and solve the problems. In reference to these mental constructions we call it APOS theory.

An arranged transformation firstly formed an action (Aydin & Mutlu, 2013). Mental construction in this level need specific teaching and one need to have step by step transformation explicitly. Dubinsky & Wilson (2013) stated that process comes after a regular action and one has chance to think about action. Then, one can construct an internal mental construction called process which he can think like doing an action without external stimulation. So, process is a mental construction happened internally when someone has done action level frequently. Parraguez & Oktac (2010)stated that when someone need to transform the process, he could reach object step. Schema is an individu total understanding about a concept. In this level someone can differ which the phenomenon is or not (Dubinsky & McDonald, 2002). In APOS theory, action was internalized into a process then process was aparted into object, object was connected with other knowledge to form a schema. Tall also explained that a schema can be aparted into an object (Tall, 2008).

The understanding is an ability to comprehend a concept (Sudjana, 2005:50). In other hand, understanding is able to comprehend a concept with their own language, can be able to connect the previous part into the next part, can differ the example and predict the written example, can predict the consequence or widen the perception in time, dimension, cases or problems (Sudjana, 2009:24). Arnawa (2009)stated that a person comprehend's level about a concept was connected with his thinking of mental construction. From the expalanation we can know that the understanding level in this research divided into four, they are : (1) action (2)understanding. process understanding, (3) object understanding, and (4)schema understanding.

# **METHODS**

a. Research Design

This research aimed to develop a concept construction textbook and the material given hoped to be appropriate students' thinking with level in Mathematics Education Study Program of STKIP PGRI Pasuruan. So the researcher conducted a Research and Development research. As stated by Plomp (2010:13)that as stated educational design research is the systematic study of designing, developing and evaluating educational interventions (such as programs, teaching learning strategies and materials, products and systems)  $\mathbf{as}$ solutions for complex problems in educational practice, ehich also aimes at advancing our knowledge about the characteristics of these interventions and the processes of designing and developing them.

Research design has 3 methods called 3D they are define, design and

develop, it refers to Tiagrajan 4D method. There are 5 steps in define, they are (1)begin-finish analysis, it is analyzing the relevant theory to get the learning method description which is appropriate with students, (2)students' need analysis, (3) concept analysis, it is identifying, specifying and arranging relevant systematical concept will be taught according to begin-finish analysis result, (4) task analysis and (5) learning goal formulation. Design step is designing learning devices and instruments to get prototype called draft-I. Furthermore develop step produce draft-II from validating and trial.

# b. Data Processing

In validating step, the validator gave suggestion to complete draft-I. There are two steps in validating, they are construct validating and content validating. The validator suggestion revised draft-I and produced draft-II. Draft-II was tried out in the class. The try out results revised draft-II and produced draft-III. There were two validators, one from STKIP PGRI Pasuruan and the other from Universitas Trunoiovo Madura. The trial location was in STKIP PGRI Pasuruan. The trial subject was the 41 students of Abstract Algebra class in 2018 generation. The trial has the researcher as the lecturer. The research object was the learning and teaching process conducted with the use of the textbook.

The instruments used in this research was validation sheet and test. There were two kinds of validation sheets, they were textbook validation sheet and test validation sheet. The aspects scored were four, they were textbook content, textbook structure, textbook characteristics and language. Test used to measure students' understanding after learning using the textbook. Test was taken from the exercises given from the developing textbook about action level test, process level test, object level test and schema level test.

The analysis technique to measure the valisity used Nengah Parta's analysis technique (2009). The average score measured from the average of each aspects takenfrom this formula :

$$V_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n I_{ji}}{n}$$

Note:

 $V\_i$  : average score from all subject validation

 $I\_ji$  : validator data score to-j of to-i indicator

n : whole validator.

Table 1 the validity Criteria of Instruments and Devices of Learning.

Interval	Validity Criteria
$3 \le \overline{V}_l \le 4$	Valid
$2 \le V_i < 3$	Valid enough
$1 \le \overline{V_l} < 2$	Invalid

Adapted from Nengah Parta (2009)

The technique analysis of textbook effectivity got from students' answers' test. The test given and categorized based on APOS Theory of understanding. Then it was analyzed using this formula::

$$P_{pmk} = \frac{S_{pmk}}{n} \times 100\%$$

Note :

P\_pmk : students' percentage that reached object and schema criteria S\_pmk : tudents' amount that reached object or chema criteri n : students' amounts The textbook is called effective whenP pmk reached 75% or more.

# **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

a. Result

The product of this research is a textbook about abstract algebra to reach some criteria they are ; concept construction based, it was formulated by APOS theory, its validity and its effectiveness. Here is the validation results after being analyzed by the validators :

Table 2 The Results of Textbook Validity by Validators

Criteria	Valdity	Validity
	Level	Criteria
Textbook	3.8	Valid
content		
Textbook	3.7	Valid
structure		
APOS theory	3.8	Valid
caharacteristics		
Language	3.4	Valid

validation Based on sheets analysis, the average of validity level is 3.67 it is called valid. The results of all aspect validity shown valid. It shows that the developing textbook is proper to be given as abstract algebra materials, but it still need some revisions as the two validators suggested. The validators suggestions are (1) the material and the exercises still did not appropriate, (2) there was a mistake in function chapter, it was about the definition of the concept, (3) the language needed to be revised, (4) the mistake in group operation test. Here are the picture of validators' suggestion.



Furthermore textbook trial was done for chapter 2 and 3 about function and group chapter. Chapter 3 was tried out for 4 meetings, the first meeting was talking about fungstion, the second meeting was explaining about one by one function, then the third meeting talked about onto fungction, and the fourth meeting held to have understanding test of chapter 2. Chapter 3 was tried out in the first three meetings, meeting explained about group, the second meeting talked about subgroup and the third meeting was held to have understanding test or exercise of chapter 3. Here is the exercise results for each meeting and test in chapter 2.

Table 3 Chapter 2 Trial Results

exerci se	Ν	$S_{pm} \atop k$	$P_{pmk}$	Description
1	39	29	74,3 %	29 students reached object understandin g level

exerci se	N	$S_{pm} \atop k$	$P_{pmk}$	Description
				7 students
				reached
				schema
				understandin
				g level
2	38	20	$52,\!6$	20 students
			%	reached object
				understandin
				g level
				1 student
				reached
				schema
				understandin
				g level
3	37	25	68%	25 students
				reached object
				understandin
				g level
				5 students
				reached
				schema
				understandin
				g level
Chap	39	27	70%	27 students
ter 2				reached object
test				understandin
				g level
				7 students
				reached
				schema
				understandin
				g level

#### Table 4 Chapter 3 Trial Results

exerci se	Ν	$S_{pm}$	$P_{pmk}$	Description
1	39	32	82%	29 students reached object understandin g level
				7 students reached schema understandin
2	41	32	78%	20 students reached object understandin g level 1 student reached schema understandin g level

exerci se	N	$S_{pm} \atop k$	$P_{pmk}$	Description
Chap ter 3 test	41	38	92,7 %	25 students reached object understandin g level 5 students reached schema understandin g level

Based on the test results analysisi in function and group material, the percentage in object and schema understanding leve has reached more than 75%. It can be concluded that the textbook has filled the criteria which are valid and effective. In conclusion the textbook of abstract algebra in concept construction based can improve students' understanding and appropriate to be used in the teaching learning process for the next generation.

### b. Discussion

The ministry of Higher education (2009) stated that text book is a handbook in a lecture written or elaborated by the expert and fill the textbook's principle and published officially. The abstract algebra textbook development purpose in this research is to supply an appropriate textbook for students based on their thinking structure. The textbook is formulated based on concept construction characteristics in line with APOS theory. This textbook contains of 5 chapters, they are set, function, group, cycle group and homorphsm group. Each chapter is given based on students' thinking level in action level, process level, object level and schema level.

In every beginning of chapter, example are shown to give the beginning concept and what the previous materials are. Beside that the example given are concrete and instructions are also given. It is in line with the action step definition. In the step of process, exercises are given to come and face action step but the exercises do not have instruction like in process step. In object level, the materials and exercises given in the form of abstract substances and in set chapter, the material given is builder notation set. In schema step, the exercises given are different with action, process and object steps. Students are demanded to use the concepts of definition or theorema given that has been proved to have new pronouncement.

# CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

# a. Conclusion

The textbook was developed to fulfill the characteristics of concept construction based on APOS theory, which was in action, process, object and schema steps. Based on the data analysis results by the two validators, the textbook had fulfilled valid criteria in 3.67 validity level. It was shown that the textbook has reached the four validity criteria. After validating step, the book was developed and tried out to 40 students in abstract algebra class in 2018 generation. The test results shown that 75% students could reach object and schema understanding level. Students who reached object understanding level were more than students who reached schema understanding level. It meant students were still have difficulties in proving the abstract algebra's pronouncement.

Finally it could be concluded that this textbook has been appropriate to be develop and used for the handbook of abstract algebra. It can be used for the teaching and learning process in class or can be used for autonomous learning tool.

The developing textbook in this research has some strength they are : (1)improving students' understanding in abstract algebra's lecture based on appropriate curriculum in STKIP PGRI Pasuruan, (2) the material given is appropriate to students' thinking level. Beside that, this textbook has some weaknesses too, they are : (1) it has not improve the students' ability to prove abstract algebra pronouncement, (2) the research subject is limited in STKIP PGRI Pasuruan students only, so it can be different if it is applied in other university.

### b. Recommendations

Based on the research results, so the researcher recommends some suggestions, they are : (1) this textbook is hoped to be used in abstract algebra's lecture, (2) it is suggested to have this textbook trial in other university, (3) the textbook is hoped to be able to help students to improve their proving ability.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article is part of the results of the author's research entitled " Pengembangan Buku Ajar Struktur Aljabar Berbasis Konstruksi Konsep untuk Meningkatkan Pemahaman Mahasiswa Calon Guru Matematika" funded by DRPM Kemenristekdikti in 2019.

### REFERENCES

- Arnawa, I. M. (2010). Mengembangkan Kemampuan Mahasiswa dalam Memvalidasi Bukti pada Aljabar Abstrak melalui Pembelajaran Berdasarkan Teori APOS. Jurnal Matematika dan Sains, 14(2), 62-68
- Aydin, S., & Mutlu, C. (2013). Students understanding of the concept of limit of a function in vocational high school mathematic. *TOJSAT*, 3(1), 145-152...

- Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi. (2009). Pedoman Operasional Penilaian Angka Kredit Kenaikan Jabatan Fungsional Dosen ke Lektor Kepala dan Guru Besar. Jakarta: Departemen Pendidikan Nasional.
- Dubinsky, E., & McDonald, M. A. (2001). APOS: A constructivist theory of learning in undergraduate mathematics education research. In The teaching and learning of mathematics at university level (pp. 275-282). Springer, Dordrecht
- Dubinsky, E., & Wilson, R. T. (2013). High school students' understanding of the function concept. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 32(1), 83-101.
- Nazihah, Z. (2017). Implementasi Pembelajaran M-Apos Berseting Stad Untuk Meningkatkan Pemahaman Homomorfisma Grup Bagi Mahasiswa Universitas Kanjuruhan Malang. Jurnal Ilmiah Edukasi & Sosial, 4(1), 101-112..
- P Parraguez, M., & Oktaç, A. (2010). Construction of the vector space concept from the viewpoint of APOS theory. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 432(8), 2112-2124.
- Parta, I N. (2009). Pengembangan Model Pembelajaran Inquiry untuk Mempeluas Pengetahuan Matematika Mahasiswa Calon Guru melalui Pengajuan Pertanyaan. Disertasi tidak diterbitkan. Surabaya: UNESA.
- Plomp, T. (2013). Educational design research: An introduction. Educational design research, 11-50.
- Sudjana, N. (2005). Dasar-Dasar Proses Belajar Mengajar. Bandung: Sinar Baru Algesindo.
- Sudjana, N. (2009). *Penilaian Hasil Proses Belajar Mengajar*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Tall, D. (2008). The Transition to Formal<br/>Thinking in Mathematics.<br/>Mathematics Education Research<br/>Journal, 20(2), 5-24.